

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/767,627 01/23/2001		Andrew J. Pennella	6579-0014	3483
7:	590 09/07/2006		EXAMINER	
Richard R. Michaud			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
The Michaud-D	Ouffy Group, LLP			
Suite 206	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
306 Industrial Park Road			3724	
Middletown, CT 06457			DATE MAILED: 09/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/767,627	PENNELLA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE OF THIS COMMUNICATE Solution of the s	TION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	une 2006				
<u> </u>		action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٠,٠	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		,			
		polication				
-	Claim(s) 1-12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· <u> </u>						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-12,14 and 15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
0)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached C	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
			eceived in this National Stage			
* 0	application from the International Bureau	•				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the centified copies not re	ceivea.			
			•			
Attachmen						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	• —	nmary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,			

Application/Control Number: 09/767,627 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 06/19/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Althaus (5,359,774) in view of H. F. Shannon (2,560,004).

Althaus'774 discloses the invention substantially as claimed including:

- a. Clam 1; a body 1 including a leading edge and a trailing edge, a series of guard ribs (28 and 29) integrally formed with the body, cutting blades 5 having cutting edges 9.
- b. Claims 2 and 3; the guard ribs are evenly spaced from one another (see Fig. 1).
- c. Claim 6; a guard bar 10 and a body made of plastic (see Abstract).
- d. Claim 7; a series of depressions 30.
- e. Claim 9; a cap 4.
- f. Claim 10; a lubricating strip 22.
- a. Claim 11; the cutting blades are substantially parallel to one another and leading and trailing edges (see Fig. 1).

- g. Claim 12; the guard ribs are substantially perpendicular to the cutting blade (see Fig. 5).
- h. Claim 14; the guard ribs have uniform dimensions.
- i. Claim 15; the guard ribs comprise a center guard rib and at least one pair of guard ribs on opposing sides (see Fig. 1).

Althaus'774 does not show the guard ribs extending from the body adjacent the leading edge to the body adjacent the trailing edge such the guard ribs are continuous with the body. However, regarding claim 1 and 4, Shannon teaches the use of the guard ribs 31 extending from the body adjacent the leading edge to the body adjacent the trailing edge such the guard ribs are continuous with the body for the purpose of providing better cutting action. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the guard ribs of Althaus'774 by providing guard ribs extending from the body adjacent the leading edge to the body adjacent the trailing edge such the guard ribs are continuous with the body as taught by Shannon in order to obtain a device that provides better cutting action.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Althaus (5,359,774) in view of H. F. Shannon (2,560,004) as applied to claims 1, 6 and 7 above, and further in view of King et al. (6167625 B1).

The modified device of Althaus'774 discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for a series of depressions and projections. However, King et al. teach the use of a series of depressions and projections (see Fig.1) for the purpose of gradually increasing the tension on the skin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Application/Control Number: 09/767,627

Art Unit: 3724

invention was made to have modified the guard bar of Althaus'774 by providing the series of depressions and projections as taught by King et al. in order to obtain a guard bar that gradually increase the tension on the skin.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Althaus does not show the guard ribs are not integrally formed with the body. However, Althaus teaches the guard ribs 29 integrally formed with the body as a unit. Also, applicant argues that Althaus does not show the guard ribs extending from the leading edge to the trailing edge. However, Shannon teaches the use of the guard ribs 31 extending from the leading edge to the trailing edge of the body.

Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 3724

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs 9/5/06

> BOYER D. ASHLEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER